

Review Form

PART A: Editorial Office Only

Reviewer's Name:	
E-mail:	
Title of the article:	
Manuscript ID:	
Authors:	
Date sent to reviewer:	
Date expected from reviewer:	

PART B: Reviewer Only

1. PAPER EVALUATION

Please, encircle one of the following values (on a scale from 1 to 5) for each of the following evaluation criteria:

Nr. crt.	Evaluation criteria	Key to evaluation (1 = poor, 5 = excellent)
1.	Title: it is clear and it is adequate to the purpose of the study	1 2 3 4 5
2.	The adequacy of the author's justification for the study	1 2 3 4 5
3.	Introduction: the relevance of the research questions/problems and objective(s)	1 2 3 4 5
4.	Methodology: the appropriateness of the research orientation, research design and research method used in the study	1 2 3 4 5
5.	Results: the nature of the evidence/data and depth of the analysis and synthesis	1 2 3 4 5
6.	Conclusions: the appropriateness of the conclusions	1 2 3 4 5
7.	Bibliography/References: the adequacy of the literature review and/or bibliographic references cited in the article	1 2 3 4 5
8.	Originality of the article: original and/or novel ideas/concepts/methods/ interpretation	1 2 3 4 5
9.	Contribution to the field: what contribution does this	1 2 3 4 5

	article make to the field of study	
10.	Technical quality: the quality and style of writing	1 2 3 4 5

2. RECOMMENDATION FOR PUBLICATION:

Nr. crt.	Decision	Evaluation points	Mark with X
1.	The paper is acceptable for publication as it is	> 46	
2.	The paper will be reconsidered after minor revisions	36-45	
3.	The paper will be reconsidered after moderate revisions	26-35	
4.	The paper will be reconsidered after major revision	16-25	
5.	The manuscript is not acceptable for publication	< 15	

3. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS IN ORDER TO JUSTIFY THE GENERAL JUDGEMENT AND SUGGESTIONS

Reviewer's comments

To be returned by e-mail.

Address: